

ON THE DYNAMICS NEAR INFINITY OF SOME POLYNOMIAL MAPPINGS IN $\mathbb{C}^2$

TIEN CUONG DINH, ROMAIN DUJARDIN AND NESSIM SIBONY

ABSTRACT. We construct the Green current for a random iteration of horizontal-like mappings in $\mathbb{C}^2$. This is applied to the study of a polynomial map $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ with the following properties:

- i. infinity is f -attracting;
- ii. f contracts the line at infinity to a point not in the indeterminacy set.

Then the Green current of f can be decomposed into pieces associated with an itinerary determined by the indeterminacy points. We also study the escape rates near infinity, i.e. the possible values of the function $\limsup \frac{1}{n} \log^+ \log^+ \|f^n\|$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Exploring the behavior of a polynomial mappings $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ as a dynamical system is an extremely rich topic. Much attention has been paid in the last few years in constructing currents and measures with interesting dynamical properties. Parallel to this is the need to find global models for the dynamics. In the present paper we study a “model at infinity” for certain polynomial maps in $\mathbb{C}^2$, with indeterminacy points at infinity. Our results illustrate the kind of dynamical complexity that can arise in this setting. On the other hand our method partially relies on delicate convergence results for some sequences of positive closed currents, obtained through iteration along a sequence of horizontal-like maps.

Let us be more specific. We consider polynomial mappings in $\mathbb{C}^2$, with meromorphic compactification on $\mathbb{P}^2$. The indeterminacy set $I(f) = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$ ($m \geq 2$) is a finite number of points in the line at infinity L_∞ . We assume L_∞ is f -attracting, and $f(L_\infty \setminus I(f))$ is a point $X \notin I(f)$. Thus for each j , $f(I_j) = L_\infty$. Each indeterminacy point I_i comes equipped with a multiplicity d_i , which is the intersection multiplicity at I_i of L_∞ and $f^{-1}(L)$, where L is a generic line.

We denote by T the Green current of f , which was shown to exist in this case by the third author [Si1].

For a point p escaping to infinity, we define its *escape rate* to be $\limsup \frac{1}{n} \log^+ \log^+ \|f^n(p)\|$. We make the assumption that a local escape rate ℓ_i is associated to each indeterminacy point, that is, for every i there exists constants C_1, C_2 , $\ell_i \geq 1$, and neighborhoods $V(I_i)$ and $V(X)$ such that

$$\forall p \in V(I_i), \text{ s.t. } f(p) \notin V(X), \quad C_1 \|p\|^{\ell_i} \leq \|f(p)\| \leq C_2 \|p\|^{\ell_i}.$$

In [DiS2] an algebraically generic class of polynomial mappings $\mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ was constructed, and for that class there are only finitely many escape rates to infinity. This was also the case

Date: February 1, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37Fxx.

in all previously known examples. In contrast with these phenomena, our main result is the following. This is a combination of theorem 3.1 and corollary 3.6.

Theorem . *Assume the preceding hypotheses hold. Then*

- i. *The set of possible escape rates to infinity is $[\min \ell_i, \max \ell_i] \cup \{d\}$.*
- ii. *For $\|T\|$ -a.e. point in the basin of infinity, the limit $\ell(p) = \lim \frac{1}{n} \log^+ \log^+ \|f^n(p)\|$ exists and $\ell(p) = \prod_{i=1}^m \ell_i^{\frac{d_i}{d}}$.*

The key point is that in a small bidisk neighborhood $\mathbb{B}_i \ni I_i$, f acts as a *horizontal-like map* [Du], that is, a meromorphic map stretching the horizontal direction and contracting the vertical direction in $\mathbb{B}_i$ —here the line at infinity is viewed as horizontal. Such maps act by pull back on positive closed currents with vertical support. Each point escaping to infinity, not in the basin of the superattracting point X , has an *itinerary* in the set of boxes $\mathbb{B}_i$, hence in the symbol space $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. We are thus led to consider the iterates of a vertical current through this prescribed itinerary.

Proving convergence results for such sequences of currents is a main technical issue in the paper. We think this formalism should find numerous applications, some of which are sketched in §5. This occurs for instance in studying the local dynamics around a periodic indeterminacy point, as shown in [Y].

The Green current then admits, in the basin of infinity, a decomposition into pieces that reflect the partition induced by the itinerary map (theorem 3.5). On an algebraically generic class of examples, the pieces are just holomorphic disks near infinity (example 3.11). This allows to reconstruct the maximal entropy measure through landing of a family of external rays (theorem 3.12).

The precise outline of the paper is as follows. In §2 we define horizontal-like maps and detail their action on currents. We state the main convergence theorem 2.6 which will be proved in §4. Section 3 is devoted to studying our polynomial maps in $\mathbb{C}^2$, whereas §5 lists some other results and problems involving sequences of horizontal-like maps.

2. MEROMORPHIC HORIZONTAL-LIKE MAPS

We introduce the class of local maps that will serve as a building block for the following. Horizontal-like maps were defined in [Du], however the focus in that paper was rather on biholomorphic maps, whereas we are led here to consider meromorphic maps. We define and study the basic properties of the Graph Transform operators for currents in this setting.

Let us first fix some notation. We let $\mathbb{B}$ be the unit bidisk in $\mathbb{C}^2$, and respectively $\partial_v \mathbb{B}$ and $\partial_h \mathbb{B}$ the vertical and horizontal part of the boundary, that is

$$\partial_v \mathbb{B} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |z| = 1, |w| < 1\} \text{ and } \partial_h \mathbb{B} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |z| < 1, |w| = 1\}.$$

We also denote by $\mathbb{D}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}_r$) the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$ (resp. the disk $D(0, r) \subset \mathbb{C}$).

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{B}_i \subset M_i$ be an open subset biholomorphic to $\mathbb{B}$ in the complex manifold M_i , for $i = 1, 2$. Let f be a dominating meromorphic map defined in some neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{B}_1}$ with values in M_2 . The triple $(f, \mathbb{B}_1, \mathbb{B}_2)$ defines a horizontal-like map if*

- i. *f has no indeterminacy points in $\partial_v \mathbb{B}_1$ and $f(\partial_v \mathbb{B}_1) \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}_2} = \emptyset$;*

- ii. $f(\overline{\mathbb{B}_1}) \cap \partial \mathbb{B}_2 \subset \partial_v \mathbb{B}_2$;
- iii. $f(\mathbb{B}_1) \cap \mathbb{B}_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Some comments are in order here. First, the definition is analogous to the one in [Du], except that indeterminacy points are allowed, and the source and target bidisks may be different. That definition was in turn inspired by the *crossed mappings* in [HO]. We often abuse notation and speak of a horizontal-like map $f : \mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2$ even if the range of f is not contained in $\mathbb{B}_2$. The definition can equally be written without reference to the ambient manifolds M_i by specifying the properties of the graph of f in $\mathbb{B}_1 \times \mathbb{B}_2$. More specifically, a 2-dimensional subvariety $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{B}_1 \times \mathbb{B}_2$ defines a horizontal-like map if the first projection $\pi_1|_\Gamma$ is injective outside a locally finite number of curves, and

$$\overline{\Gamma} \cap \partial(\mathbb{B}_1 \times \mathbb{B}_2) \subset (\mathbb{B}_1 \times \partial_v \mathbb{B}_2) \cup (\partial_h \mathbb{B}_1 \times (\mathbb{B}_2 \cup \partial_v \mathbb{B}_2)).$$

The crucial fact is that such maps naturally act on families of positive closed currents: see proposition 2.5 below. We need first introduce a few concepts.

Definition 2.2. *A horizontal positive closed current in $\mathbb{B}$ is a bidegree $(1,1)$ positive closed current with horizontal support, that is,*

$$\text{Supp}(T) \subset \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon} \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Vertical currents are defined analogously.

More generally we call *horizontal* an object –form, current, or subvariety– with horizontal support. A basic object in this setting is the family of *slice measures* associated to a horizontal positive closed current, i.e. the family of measures $m^z = T \wedge [\{z\} \times \mathbb{D}]$ supported on the vertical slices $\{z\} \times \mathbb{D}$. These measures do have the same mass (see e.g. [LS, Du]) which is a homological-like characteristic of horizontal currents –this can be made precise in terms of relative homology. We say a horizontal positive closed current is *normalized* if its slice mass is 1.

There is a useful canonical plurisubharmonic potential for a horizontal positive closed current T , given in terms of the slice measures. Indeed, let

$$(1) \quad u(z, w) = \int_{\{z\} \times \mathbb{D}} \log |w - s| dm^z(s),$$

u is a psh function, and $dd^c u = T$. The result is obvious when T is the current of integration on an analytic set, hence it holds in the general case by approximation of T by horizontal varieties [DuS]. We call u the *logarithmic* or *canonical potential* of T .

One has the following basic estimate: if T is normalized, and $\text{dist}(\text{Supp}(T), \partial_h \mathbb{B}) \geq \varepsilon$ then the L^∞ norm of u in an $\varepsilon/2$ neighborhood of $\partial_h \mathbb{B}$ is bounded by $-\log(\varepsilon/2)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let T_1 and T_2 be horizontal positive closed currents of slice mass 1. Then there exists a horizontal 1-form α such that $T_1 - T_2 = d\alpha$.*

Moreover if T_1 and T_2 are smooth, with respective logarithmic potentials u_1 and u_2 , and $\text{Supp } T_i \subset \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon}$, α can be chosen so that there exists a constant C depending only on ε such that the following holds

$$(2) \quad \|\alpha\| \leq C(1 + \|d^c u_1 - d^c u_2\|),$$

where the norm has to be understood pointwise on coefficients.

Estimate (2) is stated for smooth currents, so by the usual smoothing process for psh functions, it holds for instance when the potentials u_i have derivatives in L^2 . This estimate is important in our setting because bounded psh functions have L^2 derivatives (see lemma 4.15 below).

Proof: consider the 1-form $d^c u_1 - d^c u_2$ in the “cylinder” $\mathbb{B} \setminus (\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon})$; $d^c u_1 - d^c u_2$ is closed and the cylinder retracts to any vertical circle $\{z\} \times \partial \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon}$, so the form is exact if and only if its integral on the circle vanishes. Furthermore,

$$\int_{\{z\} \times \partial \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon}} d^c u_1 - d^c u_2 = \int_{\{z\} \times \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon}} dd^c u_1 - dd^c u_2 = 0$$

since the currents have the same slice mass. So there exists a function θ in $\mathbb{B} \setminus (\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon})$ such that $d^c u_1 - d^c u_2 = d\theta$ there.

Now let χ be a cutoff function with horizontal support in $\mathbb{B}$, $\chi = 1$ near $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}_{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$, and consider the form

$$\alpha = \chi(d^c u_1 - d^c u_2) + \theta d\chi,$$

α has horizontal support and one easily checks $d\alpha = T_1 - T_2$.

To get estimate (2), note that $\text{dist}(\text{Supp}(d\chi), \text{Supp}(T_i)) \geq \varepsilon/2$, so the function u_1 and u_2 are pluriharmonic and have controlled L^∞ norm in the neighborhood of $\text{Supp}(d\chi)$. So $d^c u_1 - d^c u_2$ is a smooth form there, with $\|d^c u_1 - d^c u_2\|_{L^\infty(\text{Supp}(d\chi))} \leq C(\varepsilon)$. Since θ can be constructed by integrating $d^c u_1 - d^c u_2$ along paths, we get a control of $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\text{Supp}(d\chi))}$ depending only on ε . $\square$

From this one classically deduces the following fact:

Proposition 2.4. *If ϕ is a vertical closed form and T is a horizontal positive closed current in $\mathbb{B}$, then $\langle T, \phi \rangle$ only depends on the slice mass of T .*

Proof: assume T_1 and T_2 have the same slice mass, then $T_1 - T_2 = d\alpha$ as in the preceding proposition. Since $\text{Supp}(\phi) \cap \text{Supp}(\alpha)$ is compact we infer

$$\langle T_1, \phi \rangle - \langle T_2, \phi \rangle = \langle d\alpha, \phi \rangle = \langle \alpha, d\phi \rangle = 0.$$

$\square$

Remark. By smoothing one may replace ϕ by any vertical positive closed current S , and get that $\int T \wedge S$ is computed in relative homology. Indeed the wedge product is well defined as a measure in this geometric setting [Si2].

We now define the push forward and the pull back Graph Transform operators for currents associated to a horizontal-like map. This is similar to [Du]. Recall that in dimension 2, for a dominating meromorphic map f , one can define continuous push forward and pull back operators f_* and f^* acting on positive closed currents of bidegree (1,1) by going through the graph of f , i.e. if π_1, π_2 denote the projections from the graph Γ , then $f^*T = (\pi_1)_*(\pi_2^*T \wedge [\Gamma])$ and $f_*T = (\pi_2)_*(\pi_1^*T \wedge [\Gamma])$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let f be a horizontal-like map $\mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2$. Then there exists an integer $d \geq 1$ such that*

- i. *For every normalized horizontal positive closed current T in $\mathbb{B}_1$, $\frac{1}{d}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*T$ is normalized, horizontal, positive and closed in $\mathbb{B}_2$.*

- ii. For every normalized vertical positive closed current T in $\mathbb{B}_2$, $\frac{1}{d}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_1}f^*T$ is normalized, vertical, positive and closed in $\mathbb{B}_1$.

The integer d is by definition the *degree* of f . Of course it can be evaluated by pushing a horizontal line in $\mathbb{B}_1$.

Proof: the push forward f_* of a horizontal current S is well defined because by the very definition of horizontal-like maps, for any test 2-form ϕ in $\mathbb{B}_2$, $\text{Supp } S \cap \text{Supp } f^*\phi \subset\subset \mathbb{B}_1$. So if T is smooth and φ is a test 1-form, $\langle \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*T, d\varphi \rangle = \langle T, d(f^*\varphi) \rangle = 0$ since $f^*\varphi$ is a vertical form with L_{loc}^1 coefficients.

Assume next T_1 and T_2 are smooth, horizontal, and normalized; thus there exists a horizontal 1-form α such that $T_1 - T_2 = d\alpha$. We can therefore write

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*T_1 - \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*T_2 = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*d\alpha = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}df_*\alpha,$$

where $f_*\alpha$ is a horizontal form with L_{loc}^1 coefficients. Hence for every vertical closed 2-form ϕ ,

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*T_1 - \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*T_2, \phi \rangle = \langle d(f_*\alpha), \phi \rangle = 0,$$

which means the two horizontal currents have the same slice mass. It is an integer since one may take as T_1 a horizontal line.

To get rid of the smoothness hypothesis, just remark that the operator f_* is continuous, and that slightly reducing the ambient bidisk if necessary, smoothing horizontal currents by convolution still produces horizontal currents.

The case of the pull back f^* is similar.

It remains to prove equality of the respective degrees $d(f_*)$ and $d(f^*)$. Take a generic vertical line L^v in $\mathbb{B}_2$, and a generic horizontal line L^h in $\mathbb{B}_1$ (in particular avoiding indeterminacy points); we claim that

$$(3) \quad f_*([L^h] \wedge \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_1}f^*[L^v]) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*[L^h] \wedge [L^v].$$

This implies $d(f_*) = d(f^*)$: indeed, pushing forward a measure preserves masses, while the mass of $[L^h] \wedge \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_1}f^*[L^v]$ is $d(f^*)$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_2}f_*[L^h] \wedge [L^v]$ has mass $d(f_*)$ —observe that the push forward of the measure is well defined because $f|_{L^h}$ is proper. Now, equation (3) is an easy consequence of the definitions of f_* and f^* . $\square$

The construction in the following section will lead us to consider sequences of meromorphic horizontal-like maps defined in chains of bidisks, and iterate this graph transform operator. Consider a sequence of horizontal-like maps $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}_{i \geq 1}$ of respective degree d_i , where the $\mathbb{B}_i$ are abstract bidisks, that is, for every i , $\mathbb{B}_i$ is an open subset of a 2-dimensional complex manifold, with a fixed biholomorphism $\varphi_i : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_i$ —we actually assume φ_i is defined in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{B}$. We identify $\mathbb{B}_i$ and $\mathbb{B}$ through φ_i , so that we may for instance speak of the logarithmic potential of a current in $\mathbb{B}_i$, etc.

We are interested in the following problem. Let $\mathcal{L}_i = \frac{1}{d_i}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_i}f_i^*$ be the pull back graph transform on vertical currents associated to f_i . For each n , let T_{n+1} be a normalized vertical current in $\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$, we want to study the convergence of the sequence of currents $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$.

Our main result is the following. The proof—as well as some more refined statements—will be postponed until section 4.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of meromorphic horizontal-like maps, such that $f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}) \subset \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{B}_i$ for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $K = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1}))$.*

Assume for each n , T_n is a normalized positive closed vertical current in $\mathbb{B}_n$, with canonical potential uniformly bounded with respect to n . Assume also either K is polynomially convex or has zero Lebesgue measure. Then the sequence of currents $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$ converges to a current τ in $\mathbb{B}_1$, independent of the sequence (T_n) .

Notice that in case the maps f_i are holomorphic $\mathbb{B}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, the polynomial convexity assumption is fulfilled. With more restrictive hypotheses on the maps f_i , we are able to say more about the current τ . Indeed assume the f_i are holomorphic outside the origin, with a possible indeterminacy point at 0. Assume the orbits approach the origin in a somewhat tame fashion:

$$(4) \quad \forall i, \forall p = (z, w) \in f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}), \quad c|w|^{\ell_i} \leq \text{dist}(f_i(p), (w=0)) \leq |w|^{\ell_i}.$$

We also suppose for convenience that the sequence of maps is an infinite word in a finite number of “letters” f_i . These assumptions are satisfied for the mappings considered in the next section.

Then

- if $\frac{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_n}{d_1 \cdots d_n}$ tends to infinity, τ has pluripolar support (proposition 4.8),
- if the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 + n\ell_1 \cdots \ell_n}{d_1 \cdots d_n}$ converges, τ has continuous potential out of the line $(w=0)$ (proposition 4.13).

3. THE GENERAL PICTURE

In this section we present the class of polynomial maps in $\mathbb{C}^2$ that motivates our study. A polynomial map in $\mathbb{C}^2$ can be seen as a meromorphic map on a compactification of $\mathbb{C}^2$, which is usually chosen to ensure “algebraic stability” if possible. For simplicity we restrict to the compactification $\mathbb{P}^2$. On $\mathbb{P}^2$ it is known how to construct a dynamically interesting invariant current under the algebraic stability hypothesis [Si1].

A good starting point for the finer study of the dynamics is to analyze the behaviour of the invariant current T near an invariant set where the dynamics is known. This has been considered by J.E. Fornæss and the third author [FS] for a class of holomorphic endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Bedford and Jonsson [BJ] considered the case of a polynomial map f in $\mathbb{C}^k$ with holomorphic continuation to $\mathbb{P}^k$. They explore the interplay between the structure of the Green current T in the neighborhood of infinity in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and the dynamics on the hyperplane at infinity H_∞ . It appears that T^{k-1} admits a local laminar decomposition, consisting of local stable manifolds of the maximal entropy measure of $f|_{H_\infty}$.

Here we consider the opposite situation, in dimension 2 only. There is no interesting dynamics on the line at infinity, whereas some complexity arises from the indeterminacy points. The Green current admits a decomposition into pieces that reflect the attracting behaviour of indeterminacy points, but since the image of each of these is the whole line at infinity, they cannot be treated separately. This feature is overcome by using partitions and symbolic dynamics. The study of the mixed case where there are both non trivial dynamics

at infinity and attracting indeterminacy points remains to be done as well as the case where the divisor at infinity has several irreducible components.

3.1. The speed spectrum. Let us be more specific. Let f be a polynomial map of degree d in $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that infinity is f -attracting, that is, for $\|p\|$ large enough $\|f(p)\| \geq c\|p\|$ for some $c > 1$. We assume (the rational extension of) f has $m \geq 1$ indeterminacy points $I_1, \dots, I_m$ on the line at infinity $L_\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and $f(L_\infty \setminus I(f)) = X \notin I(f)$ is a single fixed point. Hence f is algebraically stable in $\mathbb{P}^2$, i.e. for every $n \geq 1$, $\deg(f^n) = (\deg f)^n$. Since the image of each indeterminacy point must be a non trivial variety, not intersecting $\mathbb{C}^2$ because f is proper, one has $f(I_k) = L_\infty$ for each k . Assume $X = [1 : 0 : 0]$; this means that we performed a linear change of coordinates so that $f = (f_1, f_2)$ with $d = d_1 = \deg(f_1) > \deg(f_2) = d_2$. We fix a neighborhood $V(X)$ of the point X , of the form $V(X) = \{|w| \leq \varepsilon|z|, |z| \geq 1/\varepsilon\}$, such that $I(f) \cap V(X) = \emptyset$ and $f(V(X)) \subset\subset V(X)$.

We also need a precise control on the rate of attraction of points to the line L_∞ . This is well understood near X where the points go to infinity at maximum speed $\|p\|^{d^n}$. When f is *regular*, that is $\{f_1^+ = f_2^+ = 0\}$ is reduced to zero, where f^+ denotes the homogeneous term of highest degree (in other terms f_2^+ does not vanish on I), then out of the basin of X one has $C_1 \|p\|^{d_2} \leq \|f(p)\| \leq C_2 \|p\|^{d_2}$. The more general class of *semi-regular* maps is also studied in [DiS2]. Besides these cases there is no such estimate near the indeterminacy points so we need to formulate a hypothesis. More precisely we suppose that for every i , there exist constants $C_1, C_2, \ell_i \geq 1$, and neighborhoods $V(I_i)$ such that

$$(5) \quad \forall p \in V(I_i), \text{ s.t. } f(p) \notin V(X), \quad C_1 \|p\|^{\ell_i} \leq \|f(p)\| \leq C_2 \|p\|^{\ell_i}.$$

Notice that if $\ell_i = 1$, since L_∞ is attracting, one has $C_1 > 1$. For $\ell_i > 1$, performing a linear change of coordinates if necessary, one may always assume $C_1 \geq 1$. We will compute these exponents on some examples in §3.3, and in a more systematic way in proposition 3.10. In some cases it could be more convenient to consider upper and lower exponents $\bar{\ell}_i$ and $\underline{\ell}_i$ in each $V(I_i)$.

We define the *lower* and *upper escape rates* (or *divergence speed*) of the point p respectively as

$$\log \underline{\ell}(p) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log^+ \log^+ \|f^n(p)\| \quad \text{and} \quad \log \bar{\ell}(p) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log^+ \log^+ \|f^n(p)\|.$$

Of course when the two coincide we simply speak of *escape rate* $\ell(p)$. In the case of semi-regular maps, the function ℓ takes only finitely many values, see [DiS2]. The new phenomenon here is that when for some i, j , $\ell_i \neq \ell_j$, there is a whole interval of possible speeds of divergence to infinity, which is in sharp contrast with all previously known examples. We will exhibit mappings for which the interval $[\min \ell_i, \max \ell_i]$ is non trivial in §3.3 below.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume f is a polynomial map in $\mathbb{C}^2$ of degree d , satisfying (5), such that L_∞ is attracting and $f(L_\infty \setminus I(f)) = X \in L_\infty$. Then, with notations as before, the spectrum of possible escape rates of points in $\mathbb{C}^2$ to infinity is exactly $[\min \ell_i, \max \ell_i] \cup \{d\}$, with $\max \ell_i \leq d - 1$.*

Moreover a point has escape rate d if and only if it is in the basin of X .

The proof will follow from a sequence of observations. The basic geometric feature is the following.

Proposition 3.2. *There exists a family of bidisks $\mathbb{B}_i \ni I_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, so that for every pair (i, j) , $(f, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_j)$ is a horizontal-like map, of degree d_i depending only on i . Moreover $\sum_i d_i = d$.*

Proof: write the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$ as $(z, w) = (\frac{Z}{T}, \frac{W}{T})$, where $[Z : W : T]$ are the homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Since $X = [1 : 0 : 0]$, near I_i one may use the coordinates

$$(u, v) = (\frac{z}{w}, \frac{1}{w}) = (\frac{Z}{W}, \frac{T}{W}),$$

in which the line at infinity becomes $(v = 0)$. Our bidisk neighborhoods have the form

$$\mathbb{B}_i = \mathbb{B}_{i,r,r'} = \{|u - u_i| \leq r, |v| \leq r'\}, \text{ where } I_i = (u_i, 0),$$

where r is chosen so small that the bidisks are mutually disjoint and disjoint from $V(X)$. The radius r being fixed, since $f(L_\infty \setminus I(f)) = X$, for r' small enough, $f(\partial_v \mathbb{B}_i) \subset V(X)$. That $f(\mathbb{B}_i) \cap \mathbb{B}_j \neq \emptyset$ for every (i, j) follows from the fact that $f(I_i) = L_\infty$; moreover, since L_∞ is attracting, $f(\mathbb{B}_i)$ is horizontally supported in $\mathbb{B}_j$. Thus the hypotheses of definition 2.1 are satisfied.

On the other hand, if L_i is a perturbation of L_∞ of the form $(v = c)$, $c \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{B}_i$, $f(L_i)$ is a curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with boundary in $V(X)$, close to L_∞ , so the linear projection $\pi_0 : \mathbb{C}^2 \ni (z, w) \mapsto [z : w] \in L_\infty$ makes it a branched cover of some degree d_i over $L_\infty \setminus V(X)$. The integer d_i is thus the degree of $(f, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_j)$ for every j . Of course viewing the line $(v = c)$ as a global line in $\mathbb{P}^2$, $f(L)$ has algebraic degree d , which coincides with the degree of the branched cover $\pi_0|_{f(L)}$. Over $L_\infty \setminus V(X)$, the contributions come from the boxes $\mathbb{B}_i$, so $\sum d_i = d$. $\square$

As a consequence of the proof one remarks that if L' is a generic line, d_i is exactly the intersection multiplicity of $f^{-1}(L')$ and L_∞ at I_i , since a generic perturbation L of L_∞ intersects $f^{-1}(L')$ in d_i points in $\mathbb{B}_i$.

Proposition 3.3. *The topological degree of f satisfies $d_t = \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i d_i$. In particular $d_t \geq d$.*

Proof: let L be a generic line in $\mathbb{P}^2$, avoiding $V(X)$. On L and $f^{-1}(L)$ one may consider the coordinates (u, v) as in the previous proof. The psh potential of $(v = 0) = L_\infty$ is $\log |v|$, and one obviously has

$$\int dd^c \log |v| \wedge [L] = \int [L_\infty] \wedge [L] = 1.$$

We will compute $\int f^*(dd^c \log |v| \wedge [L])$ in two ways.

First, note that the integral is supported in a neighborhood of L_∞ and replace $\log |v|$ by a decreasing approximation $\varphi_\varepsilon = \max(\log |v|, \log \varepsilon)$. The pull back of the probability measure $dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon \wedge [L]$ by f has mass d_t by definition of the topological degree.

On the other hand the integral

$$\int f^*(dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon \wedge [L]) = \int dd^c(\varphi_\varepsilon \circ f) \wedge [f^{-1}(L)] = \int_{f^{-1}(L)} dd^c(\varphi_\varepsilon \circ f)$$

may be computed directly. Indeed $\varphi_\varepsilon \circ f(p) = \max(\log |\tilde{f}_2(p)|, \log \varepsilon)$, where $\tilde{f}_2$ denotes the second coordinate, with respect to the coordinate system (u, v) . If $p = (u, v) \in f^{-1}(L) \cap \mathbb{B}_i$,

$$\ell_i \log |v| + O(1) \leq \log |\tilde{f}_2(p)| \leq \ell_i \log |v| + O(1)$$

by definition of ℓ_i —remember both L and $f^{-1}(L)$ are far from $X = [1 : 0 : 0]$ so the coordinate v determines $\|p\|$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Hence $\varphi_\varepsilon \circ f$ decreases to $\log |f_2| = \ell_i \log |v| + O(1)$ in $f^{-1}(L) \cap \mathbb{B}_i$. Since for small ε , $\varphi_\varepsilon \circ f|_{f^{-1}(L)}$ is harmonic outside a small neighborhood of $I(f) = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$, we infer

$$\int_{f^{-1}(L)} dd^c(\varphi_\varepsilon \circ f) = \sum_i \int_{f^{-1}(L) \cap \mathbb{B}_i} dd^c(\varphi_\varepsilon \circ f) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_i \int_{f^{-1}(L) \cap \mathbb{B}_i} dd^c(\ell_i \log |v| + O(1))$$

where the dd^c vanishes outside any arbitrarily small neighborhood of I_i . This gives

$$\int f^*(dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon \wedge [L]) \longrightarrow \sum_i \ell_i \int [L_\infty] \wedge [f^{-1}(L)] = \sum_i \ell_i d_i$$

since the intersection multiplicity of $f^{-1}(L)$ and L_∞ at I_i is d_i . $\square$

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the closure in $\mathbb{P}^2$ of the complement of the basin of attraction of X . We put $N = \mathbb{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{B}_m$, so that in a neighborhood of L_∞ one has $\mathcal{K} \subset N$. Since the line L_∞ is attracting, this allows to introduce symbolic dynamics in $\mathcal{K} \cap N$. More precisely for any sequence $\alpha = (\alpha(j))_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, let

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \{p \in \mathcal{K} \cap N, f^j(p) \in \mathbb{B}_{\alpha(j)}\}$$

be the set of points with itinerary α . This set is in a sense the local stable set of the sequence α . By proposition 3.2 and the discussion in section 2, for every α , $\mathcal{K}_\alpha \subset \mathbb{B}_{\alpha(0)}$ is a non empty vertical closed set, carrying a closed positive current. We will discuss convergence properties of the sequence of currents in the next paragraph. Before this, we compute the escape rate of points on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$, through the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.*

i. *For fixed p in $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \log \|f^n(p)\| = \frac{1}{n} \log(\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(n-1)}) + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

ii. *If moreover the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(n)}}$ converges, the sequence of functions*

$$\frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(n-1)}} \log \|f^n(p)\|$$

converges uniformly to a function G_α on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$.

Note that the hypothesis in the second item is obviously satisfied when all $\ell_i \geq 2$. We do not know whether $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ has laminar structure in general, nevertheless one easily sees G_α is harmonic on any holomorphic disk $\Delta \subset \mathcal{K}_\alpha$, if any.

Proof: if $p \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$, by (5), for every $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ one has—recall both $C_1, C_2 \geq 1$ —

$$\log C_1 \leq \log \|f^{j+1}(p)\| - \ell_{\alpha(j)} \log \|f^j(p)\| \leq \log C_2.$$

Summing this formula from $j = 0$ to $k-1$ yields

$$\log \|f^k(p)\| - \ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(k-1)} \log \|p\| \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \ell_{\alpha(j+1)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(k-1)} \right) \log C_2,$$

with a similar left hand side inequality involving $\log C_1$, so

$$\left| \frac{1}{k} \log \log \|f^k(p)\| - \frac{1}{k} \log(\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(k-1)}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{k} \log \left(\log \|p\| + C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(j)}} \right).$$

Since the sum in the right hand side is $O(k)$, we get item i.

To prove the second point, just remark that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(j)}} \log \|f^{j+1}(p)\| - \frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(j-1)}} \log \|f^j(p)\| \right| \leq \frac{\max(|\log C_1|, |\log C_2|)}{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(j)}}$$

on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$, and apply Cauchy's criterion. $\square$

Proof of theorem 3.1: from the previous lemma we know all possible speeds of divergence to infinity. It is clear that for every $\ell \in [\min \ell_i, \max \ell_i]$ there exists a sequence α such that $\ell = \lim (\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(k-1)})^{\frac{1}{k}}$. On the other hand $\max \ell_i \leq d-1$: indeed assume $f = (f_1, f_2)$, with $d = \deg(f_1) > \deg(f_2)$ as before, then if $\|p\|$ is large and $f(p)$ is far from X , then $|f_2(p)| \geq c|f_1(p)|$. This means that $\|f(p)\| \leq C\|p\|^{\deg(f_2)}$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

3.2. Decomposition of the Green current. In this section we show how the structure of the Green current is determined by the above picture. Near infinity, to each itinerary sequence α corresponds a unique current T_α , which is part of the Green current T . This Cantor structure persists after finitely many backward iterations, modulo some identifications between itineraries.

Consider the shift space $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and let ν be the self similar probability measure on Σ defined by

$$\nu \left(\left\{ \{i\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}^*} \right\} \right) = \frac{d_i}{d},$$

that is, the measure of the cylinder of sequences starting with $\alpha(0), \dots, \alpha(k)$ is $\frac{1}{d^{k+1}} d_{\alpha(0)} \cdots d_{\alpha(k)}$. Under the action of the usual one sided shift σ on Σ , ν is invariant, and mixing.

We keep the hypotheses of the preceding section, namely we assume the polynomial map f has $m \geq 2$ indeterminacy points at infinity, and the numbers d_i have the same meaning. Recall that for every pair (i, j) , $(f, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_j)$ defines a horizontal-like map, hence acts on vertical closed positive currents with degree d_i . We denote by $\mathcal{L}_i = \frac{1}{d_i} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}_i} f^*$ the corresponding pull back operator, which can be viewed as being independent of j . Notice that hypothesis (5) is unnecessary in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Under the preceding hypotheses, the following is true:*

- i. *There exists an at most countable set $\mathcal{E} \subset \Sigma$, such that for every sequence $\alpha \in \Sigma \setminus \mathcal{E}$ there exists a current T_α supported in $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ uniquely defined as follows: for every vertical positive closed current S in $\mathbb{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{B}_m$ with bounded potential*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{\alpha(k)} S \rightharpoonup T_\alpha.$$

The current T_α is a normalized vertical positive current in $\mathbb{B}_{\alpha(0)}$ and satisfies the compatibility relation $\mathcal{L}_i T_\alpha = T_{i\alpha}$, where $i\alpha$ is the concatenated sequence.

- ii. *The Green current T admits the decomposition $T = \int_{\Sigma} T_\alpha d\nu(\alpha)$ in a neighborhood of infinity.*

Corollary 3.6. *Assume moreover f satisfies (5). Then:*

i. For $\|T\|$ almost every point p in the neighborhood of infinity, the escape rate $\ell(p)$ is

$$\ell(p) = \prod_{i=1}^m \ell_i^{\frac{d_i}{d}}.$$

ii. If $\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\ell_i}{d_i}\right)^{\frac{d_i}{d}} > 1$ then for ν -a.e. α , $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is pluripolar.

iii. If $\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\ell_i}{d_i}\right)^{\frac{d_i}{d}} < 1$ then for ν -a.e. α , T_α has continuous potential outside L_∞ .

Item i. in the corollary still holds for almost every $p \in \text{Supp}(T)$ escaping to infinity since $\ell(p)$ does not vary under finitely many iterates. Notice that concavity of the logarithm function together with proposition 3.3 imply that the generic speed $\ell(p)$ is not larger than d_t/d , with strict inequality when at least two ℓ_i 's are different.

Item ii. and iii. hold under slightly weaker hypotheses: suppose for every i , there exist constants C_1, C_2 , $\underline{\ell}_i \geq 1$ and $\bar{\ell}_i \geq 1$ such that

$$(6) \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{B}_i, \text{ s.t. } f(p) \notin V(X), \quad C_1 \|p\|^{\underline{\ell}_i} \leq \|f(p)\| \leq C_2 \|p\|^{\bar{\ell}_i}.$$

Then in ii. (resp. iii.) ℓ_i may be replaced by $\underline{\ell}_i$ (resp. $\bar{\ell}_i$).

Proof of the theorem: if S is a smooth vertical closed positive current in $\mathbb{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{B}_m$, normalized in the sense that its intersection with a global horizontal line has mass 1, and with bounded potential

$$\frac{1}{d} f^* S = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{d_i}{d} \mathcal{L}_i S,$$

so

$$\frac{1}{d^k} (f^k)^* S = \sum_{\{\alpha(0), \dots, \alpha(k-1)\} \in \{1, \dots, m\}^k} \frac{d_{\alpha(0)} \cdots d_{\alpha(k-1)}}{d^k} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{\alpha(k-1)} S \rightharpoonup T.$$

In the neighborhood of infinity, $\mathcal{K}$ is the disjoint union of the sets $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$, so for α not in an at most countable set $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ has zero Lebesgue measure. For every such sequence α , $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{\alpha(k-1)} S$ converges by theorem 2.6 and the decomposition formula $T = \int T_\alpha d\nu(\alpha)$ follows from the dominated convergence theorem. $\square$

Proof of the corollary: the itinerary map $\mathcal{K} \cap N \rightarrow \Sigma$ semi conjugates f to the shift σ on Σ , i.e. $f(\mathcal{K}_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{K}_{\sigma(\alpha)}$. On the other hand σ is ν -ergodic, so by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, for ν -a.e. α one has

$$(\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(k-1)})^{\frac{1}{k}} = \exp \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \log \ell_{\sigma^i \alpha(0)} \right) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \prod_{i=1}^m \ell_i^{\frac{d_i}{d}} = \exp \left(\int_{\Sigma} \log \ell_{\alpha(0)} d\nu(\alpha) \right).$$

Thus the first item of the corollary follows from lemma 3.4 and the decomposition of the Green current.

In the same vein, using the Birkhoff ergodic theorem for the sequence

$$\left(\frac{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(k-1)}}{d_{\alpha(0)} \cdots d_{\alpha(k-1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

shows that:

- either $\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\ell_i}{d_i}\right)^{\frac{d_i}{d}} > 1$ then for generic α , $\frac{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(n)}}{d_{\alpha(0)} \cdots d_{\alpha(n)}} \rightarrow \infty$, and proposition 4.8 gives ii.;
- or $\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\ell_i}{d_i}\right)^{\frac{d_i}{d}} < 1$ then for generic α the series $\sum \frac{1 + n\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(n)}}{d_{\alpha(0)} \cdots d_{\alpha(n)}}$ converges, and iii. follows from proposition 4.13.

□

There is a alternate decomposition of the Green current, related to the spectrum of escape rates. Consider for example

$$\log \underline{\ell}(p) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \log \|f^n(p)\| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(n-1)}) \text{ where } p \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha.$$

This defines a measurable function $\alpha \mapsto \liminf \frac{1}{n} \log(\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(n-1)})$ on Σ , whose level sets form a measurable partition –most level sets have zero measure since there exists a generic speed. The measure ν admits conditional probability measures on almost every level set $\{\underline{\ell} = c\}$, and we deduce that in a neighborhood of infinity, almost every level set $\{\underline{\ell} = c\}$ carries a natural positive closed current defined by

$$\int T_\alpha d\nu(\alpha | \underline{\ell} = c),$$

where $\nu(\cdot | \underline{\ell} = c)$ denotes conditional measure.

We now explain how the decomposition of theorem 3.5 can be extended to the basin of attraction $\mathcal{K}'$ of L_∞ in $\mathcal{K}$ –the complement in $\mathcal{K}$ of the set of points with bounded orbits. Let $N = \mathbb{B}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathbb{B}_m = N_0$ and $N_k = f^{-k}(N)$, so that $\bigcap_{j \geq 0} \bigcup_{k \geq j} N_k = \mathcal{K}'$. The point is that even if the currents T_α themselves need not extend to N_k , some averaged $T_{\alpha^{(k)}}$ do. As $k \rightarrow \infty$, more and more information is lost on the decomposition. Recall most preimages of points converge to the maximal entropy measure as $k \rightarrow \infty$, so the decomposition probably has to do with the structure of the measure itself.

Given $\alpha \in \Sigma$, we let $\alpha^{(k)}$ be the sets of its k -neighbors, i.e. $\alpha^{(k)} = \sigma^{-k} \sigma^k(\alpha)$, and $\Sigma^{(k)}$ denotes the quotient of Σ by the k -neighborhood relation. $\Sigma^{(k)}$ is isomorphic to Σ .

Proposition 3.7. *Under the hypotheses of theorem 3.5, for every $k \geq 0$ one has:*

- i. *The normalized current $T_{\alpha^{(k)}} = \sum_{\beta \in \alpha^{(k)}} \frac{d_{\beta(0)} \cdots d_{\beta(k-1)}}{d^k} T_\beta$ admits an extension to N_k .*
- ii. *There exists a probability measure $\nu^{(k)}$ on $\Sigma^{(k)}$ such that the Green current decomposes as $T = \int_{\Sigma^{(k)}} T_{\alpha^{(k)}} d\nu^{(k)}$ on N_k .*

Proof: the proof is easy. We define the extension by $T_{\alpha^{(k)}} = \frac{1}{d^k} (f^k)^* T_{\sigma^k(\alpha)}$. The current $T_{\alpha^{(k)}}$ is well defined in $f^{-k}(N)$ and coincides with the given expression in N .

Moreover using the invariance of ν under σ , one may write $T = \int_\Sigma T_{\sigma^k(\alpha)} d\nu(\alpha)$ in N . Pulling back this expression yields

$$T|_{f^{-k}N} = \frac{1}{d^k} (f^k)^* (T|_N) = \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{d^k} (f^k)^* (T_{\sigma^k(\alpha)}) d\nu(\alpha) = \int_\Sigma T_{\alpha^{(k)}} d\nu(\alpha).$$

Since $T_{\alpha^{(k)}}$ only depends on $\alpha^{(k)} \in \Sigma^{(k)}$ we get the desired measure on the quotient space $\Sigma^{(k)}$. $\square$

3.3. Examples. The following basic class of examples was the first motivation for this study.

Example 3.8. Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be the polynomial map defined by

$$f(z, w) = (z^c(w - z)^d, w^a + z^b).$$

We moreover assume $c + d > b \geq a \geq 2$. Then f has degree $c + d$, the indeterminacy set is $I = \{I_1 = [0 : 1 : 0], I_2 = [1 : 1 : 0]\}$ in homogeneous coordinates $[z : w : t]$, whereas $f(L_\infty \setminus I) = X = [1 : 0 : 0]$. When $a = b$, f is regular in the sense of [DiS2], so the first new case is $b > a$. We will prove condition (5) holds and $d_1 = c$, $d_2 = d$, $\ell_1 = a$, and $\ell_2 = b$. Since $a \geq 2$ f is proper and the line at infinity is attracting. This example shows both cases ii. and iii. of corollary 3.6 may occur.

Computing the d_i is quite simple. Take a generic line, say $z = \alpha w + \beta$, and consider its preimage by f , which is the curve of equation $z^c(w - z)^d = \alpha(w^a + z^b) + \beta$. This curve intersects L_∞ with multiplicity c at I_1 and d at I_2 .

Let us estimate ℓ_1 . For ease of reading we use the following notation: $x \lesssim y$ means $x \leq Cy$ for some constant C , and $x \ll y$ means $x = o(y)$ at infinity. If $p = (z, w)$ is near I_1 and $f(p)$ is far from X then $|z| \leq |w|/2$, say, and $|w^a + z^b| \gtrsim |z^c(w - z)^d|$. From the first relation one deduces

$$|w - z|^d \gtrsim |w|^d \gtrsim |z|^d,$$

and from the second one,

$$|w|^a + |z|^b \geq |w^a + z^b| \gtrsim |z^c(w - z)^d| \gtrsim |z|^{c+d}.$$

Since $c + d > b$, this implies $|z|^{c+d} \lesssim |w|^a$, i.e. $|z| \lesssim |w|^{\frac{a}{c+d}}$. Thus

$$|z|^b \lesssim |w|^{\frac{ab}{c+d}} \ll |w|^a,$$

for $\frac{b}{c+d} < 1$. We conclude that

$$|w|^a \lesssim |w|^a - |z|^b \leq |w^a + z^b| \leq |w|^a + |z|^b \lesssim |w|^a,$$

that is, $\ell_1 = a$.

The computation for ℓ_2 is of the same kind: near I_2 one has $|z| \lesssim |w| \lesssim |z|$, so $|w|^a \lesssim |z|^a \ll |z|^b$. We infer

$$|z|^b \lesssim |z|^b - |w|^a \leq |w^a + z^b| \leq |w|^a + |z|^b \lesssim |z|^b,$$

so $\ell_2 = b$. $\square$

Example 3.9. The following example shows a larger number of indeterminacy points is possible. Let

$$f(z, w) = (z^{d_1}(z - w)^{d_2}(z + w)^{d_3}, z^a + z^b(z - w)^c + (z + w)^d),$$

where $c < d < a < b + c$, $b < a$, and large d_2 . We leave as an exercise to the reader to prove $I_1 = [0 : 1 : 0]$, $I_2 = [1 : 1 : 0]$, $I_3 = [1 : -1 : 0]$, and $\ell_1 = d$, $\ell_2 = a$, $\ell_3 = b + c$. $\square$

More generally, it is possible to compute the exponents using *Newton polygons*. This is similar in spirit to [DiS2, §3]. Here we compute the exponent at $I_1 = [0 : 1 : 0]$, nevertheless a linear change of coordinates allows to treat other points on L_∞ as well.

Let $f = (f_1, f_2)$ be a polynomial map with $\deg f_1 > \deg f_2$. Define the Newton polygon Π_i to be the set of $(r, s) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that the coefficient of $z^r w^s$ in f_i is non zero.

Proposition 3.10. *Assume there exist positive integers r_0, r_1, s_0, s_1 , with $0 < s_0 < r_0 = r_1 + s_1$, such that $(r_0, 0) \in \Pi_1$, $(0, s_0) \in \Pi_2$, $(r_1, s_1) \in \Pi_1$ and $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$ is contained in the closed 4-gon $\mathcal{P}$ with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(r_0, 0)$, (r_1, s_1) and $(0, s_0)$. Assume also that $\Pi_2 \setminus (0, s_0)$ does not meet the segment $\mathcal{S}$ joining $(0, s_0)$ and (r_1, s_1) . Then $I_1 = [0 : 1 : 0]$ is a point of indeterminacy of f with escape rate s_0 .*

Proof: it is clear that $\deg f_1 = r_0$ and if f_1^+ is the homogeneous part of maximal degree of f_1 then $f_1^+(0, 1) = 0$. It follows that I_1 is a point of indeterminacy.

Let $p_i = (z_i, w_i)$ be a sequence of points converging to I such that $f(p_i) \notin V(X)$. We need only to check that $|f_2(p_i)| \simeq |w_i|^{s_0}$.

Define $M_i = \max\{|z_i|^r |w_i|^s, (r, s) \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Since $|z_i| = o(|w_i|)$, the convexity of the map $(r, s) \mapsto |z_i|^r |w_i|^s$ implies that $z_i^r w_i^s = o(M_i)$ for $(r, s) \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{S}$.

We first prove that $M_i \simeq |w_i|^{s_0}$. If $|w_i|^{s_0} = o(M_i)$, by convexity, we have $M_i \simeq |z_i|^{r_1} |w_i|^{s_1}$ and $z_i^{r_1} w_i^{s_1} = o(M_i)$ for $(r, s) \in \mathcal{P} \setminus (r_1, s_1)$. It follows that $|f_2(p_i)| = o(M_i) = o(|f_1(p_i)|)$, which is impossible since $f(p_i)$ is far from X .

Hence $M_i \simeq |w_i|^{s_0}$. Since $\Pi_2 \cap \mathcal{S} = (0, s_0)$, we obtain $|f_2(p_i)| \simeq |w_i|^{s_0}$. $\square$

Example 3.11. Another interesting feature, related to the question of laminarity, is the case where all d_i equal 1. This holds when the maps have the following form

$$f(z, w) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^d (z - a_i w) + P_{d-1}(z, w), Q_{d-1}(z, w) \right),$$

where the a_i are distinct and $\deg Q_{d-1} \leq d - 1$. The indeterminacy set is $I = \bigcup_i [a_i : 1 : 0]$ and $f(L_\infty \setminus I) = [1 : 0 : 0] = X$. Notice this form is generic among the maps $f = (f_1, f_2)$ such that $\deg(f_1) > \deg(f_2)$. We also assume that there exist exponents ℓ_i such that (5) holds, and that the line at infinity is attracting.

This occurs for instance when the homogeneous term of highest degree of Q_{d-1} does not vanish at $[a_i : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^1$, which still remains a generic property. In this case the map is regular in the sense of [DiS2] and all $\ell_i = d - 1$.

The horizontal-like maps involved all have degree 1, and for each α the set $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is a disk through the indeterminacy point $I_{\alpha(0)}$, transverse to L_∞ .

Our construction shows the Green current is uniformly laminar in a neighborhood of L_∞ in $\mathbb{C}^2$, with Cantor transverse structure induced by the symbol space Σ . In particular the construction of external rays given in [BJ] works in this case.

Theorem 3.12. *Under the preceding hypotheses, there exists a family of external rays, parametrized by $\Sigma \times S^1$. Almost every ray lands, with respect to the probability measure $\nu \otimes d\theta$ on $\Sigma \times S^1$, and if e denotes the associated endpoint mapping, $e_*(\nu \otimes d\theta) = \mu$, where μ is the maximal entropy measure of f .*

Proof: the partial Green function G_α is well defined on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha \cap N$ and has no critical points there since $G_\alpha(p) = \log \|p\| + c_\alpha + o(1)$ on the disk $\mathcal{K}_\alpha \cap N$ —recall $N = \mathbb{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{B}_m$ —thus

the flow of the gradient vector field $\nabla(G_\alpha|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha})$ determines a family of external rays through $I_{\alpha(0)}$ indexed by $\theta \in S^1$. Extending these external rays to $\mathcal{K}'$ (the part of $\mathcal{K}$ in the basin of infinity) involves a cutting procedure. We only sketch the argument, see [BJ, §6] for details.

The Riemann surface $f^{-1}\mathcal{K}_{\sigma\alpha}$ has m connected components near infinity, one of which is $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$; however globally the number of components may drop because of the branch locus. So we cut $f^{-1}\mathcal{K}_{\sigma\alpha}$ into m pieces by removing the branches of external rays located behind the critical points of f . One gets m simply connected Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,1}$ extending the $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$.

Iterating this procedure yields increasing sequences of simply connected “hedgehog-like” Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,k}$. Moreover the partial Green function G_α is still well defined on the extension $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,k}$ by

$$G_\alpha = \frac{1}{\ell_{\alpha(0)} \cdots \ell_{\alpha(k-1)}} G_{\sigma^k \alpha} \circ f^k.$$

One thus obtains by increasing union a simply connected $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\infty}$ equipped with a harmonic partial Green function G_α . Moreover $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\infty}$ is of finite area ν -almost surely because

$$T|_{\mathcal{K}'} = \int_{\Sigma} [\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\infty}] d\nu(\alpha).$$

Lemma 7.1 in [BJ] asserts then that if the disk $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\infty}$ has finite area, $d\theta$ -almost every external ray lands, giving then rise to a measurable *endpoint mapping* $e(\alpha, \theta)$ on $\Sigma \times S^1$, and a probability measure $e_*(\nu \otimes d\theta)$.

The new point here is the proof that $\mu_e = e_*(\nu \otimes d\theta)$ equals the equilibrium measure μ . Let us assume that some $\ell_i > 1$, so that $d_t > d$ and μ is well defined [DiS1].

One needs to analyze the action of f^* on the space of external rays $\Sigma \times S^1$. First, $f : \mathcal{K}_{i\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is a holomorphic map of degree ℓ_i near the point at infinity, so the action of f on the unit tangent circle at this point is multiplication by ℓ_i , and $f^*d\theta = \ell_i d\theta$. On the other hand since all $d_i = 1$, ν is the balanced measure on Σ , so for the partial inverse of the shift σ , $\sigma_i : i\alpha \mapsto \alpha$, one has $(\sigma_i)_*\nu = \nu$. From this one easily gets $f^*(\nu \otimes d\theta) = (\sum \ell_i)(\nu \otimes d\theta) = d_t(\nu \otimes d\theta)$.

The measure $\nu \otimes d\theta$ induces by pushing along external rays a diffuse measure μ_1 on the boundary of the first generation of disks $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \partial(\mathcal{K}_\alpha \cap N)$, and it follows from the foregoing discussion that $d_t^{-n}(f^n)^*\mu_1$ converges to the endpoint measure μ_e . On the other hand a μ_1 -generic point is non exceptional and $d_t^{-n}(f^n)^*\mu_1 \rightharpoonup \mu$ the equilibrium measure. This concludes the proof. $\square$

4. CONVERGENCE THEOREMS

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 2.6 (§4.1) We will also find sufficient conditions ensuring that $\text{Supp}(T)$ is pluripolar (§4.2) or T has continuous potential (§4.3). The latter estimate will allow us to derive an alternate proof of the convergence theorem (§4.4) giving explicit estimates on the rate of convergence, which is useful in applications.

Let us now recall the setting: $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a sequence of meromorphic horizontal-like maps of respective degree d_i , defined in a chain of bidisks. As before, we always tacitly identify the abstract bidisk $\mathbb{B}_i$ and $\mathbb{B}$ through a fixed biholomorphism.

In subsections 4.2 to 4.4 we will moreover assume the indeterminacy points are located in the distinguished horizontal line ($w = 0$), and the rate of attraction to this line is controlled by exponents $\underline{\ell}_i$ and $\overline{\ell}_i$. Of course this corresponds to the situation in section 3.

We will also suppose that the sequence of horizontal-like maps is finitely generated. The results may nevertheless be adapted to (suitable) compact families of mappings.

4.1. A general convergence theorem. The methods in this paragraph are based on the well known fact that the slice measures m^w of a vertical positive closed current T vary *holomorphically* in the following sense: for every holomorphic function F in the neighborhood of $\text{Supp}(T)$, the function f defined by

$$f(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}} F(\zeta, w) dm^w(\zeta) = \int F(z, w) T \wedge [\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}]$$

is holomorphic. See e.g. Slodkowski [Sl] for a proof. This can also be seen as a consequence of the approximation theorem for currents [DuS]: T can be approximated by a sequence of subvarieties converging to $\text{Supp}(T)$ in the Hausdorff topology, and for those the result is trivial.

This provides us with a linear map

$$\Phi_T : F(z, w) \mapsto f(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}} F(\zeta, w) dm^w(\zeta).$$

We call the *moment functions* the functions f obtained in this way. We want to use holomorphic functions as test functions to prove the convergence of sequences of currents of the form $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$. Recall formula (1) associates a canonical potential to a vertical normalized current in $\mathbb{B}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}$ be a sequence of meromorphic horizontal-like maps, such that $f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}) \subset \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{B}_i$ for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $K = \bigcap (f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1}))$. Assume for each n , T_n is a normalized vertical current in $\mathbb{B}_n$. Then*

- i. *if K is polynomially convex and the currents T_n have canonical potential uniformly bounded with respect to n ,*
- ii. *or if K has zero Lebesgue measure,*

then the sequence $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$ converges in $\mathbb{B}_1$ to a current independent of (T_n) .

The hypothesis of the first item holds for instance if the maps f_i are holomorphic $\mathbb{B}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$. Moreover in that case, if for every n , $\text{Supp } T_n \subset \mathbb{B}_n \setminus (f^{-1}\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$, the assumption on the potentials may be dropped (corollary 4.6).

The following lemma tells us which knowledge on the moment functions is enough to recover T , in case K is polynomially convex or is small in some sense.

Lemma 4.2. *Let T_1 and T_2 be vertical closed positive currents in $\mathbb{B}$, supported on the vertical closed set K , satisfying $\Phi_{T_1} = \Phi_{T_2}$ -i.e. T_1 and T_2 have the same moment functions.*

Assume for w but possibly in a set of zero Lebesgue measure, the slice $K \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{w\})$ does not separate $\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}$, and that the slice measures are supported on $\partial(K \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}))$. Then $T_1 = T_2$.

In particular if K has zero 3-dimensional Hausdorff measure, and T_1 and T_2 are supported on K with the same moment functions, then $T_1 = T_2$.

Proof: notice first that if T_1 and T_2 are vertical closed positive currents such that for (Lebesgue) almost every w , $m_1^w = m_2^w$, then $T_1 = T_2$. Indeed the canonical potentials u_1 and u_2 coincide on almost every slice, hence everywhere by subharmonicity.

Notice also that if K is polynomially convex in $\mathbb{B}$, so are the slices $K \cap (\{w\} \times \mathbb{D})$, so K does not separate the slice.

The following fact is classical (see the Lebesgue-Walsh Theorem, [G] p.36). Let X be the boundary of a polynomially convex set in $\mathbb{C}$. Then real continuous functions on ∂X are uniform limits of harmonic polynomials. This implies that if two real measures m_1 and m_2 are supported on ∂X and coincide on holomorphic functions, they are equal. So under the hypothesis of the lemma, we thus get that $m_1^w = m_2^w$ for almost every w .

Assume now the Hausdorff measure $\Lambda_3(K) = 0$. The second projection $K \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is surjective since K supports a vertical closed current, so almost every slice $K \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{w\})$ has zero length. In particular the slice $K \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{w\})$ does not separate $\mathbb{C}$ and has empty interior. This holds for instance if K is pluripolar. $\square$

We have an analogous result in the zero measure case.

Lemma 4.3. *Let T_1 and T_2 be vertical closed positive currents in $\mathbb{B}$, with support in K . Assume that K has zero Lebesgue measure and that for almost every slice $\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}$, and every holomorphic function F in the neighborhood of $K \cap (\{w\} \times \mathbb{D})$, one has $m_1^w(F) = m_2^w(F)$. Then $T_1 = T_2$.*

Proof: for Lebesgue almost every slice $\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}$, $K \cap (\{w\} \times \mathbb{D})$ has Lebesgue measure zero.

It is known (the Hartogs-Rosenthal Theorem, see [G]) that if $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ is closed and has zero measure, then continuous functions on X are uniform limits of rational functions with poles off X . In particular if m_1 and m_2 are positive measures supported on X and coincide on functions holomorphic in the neighborhood of X , then $m_1 = m_2$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Let $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}$ be a (not necessarily finitely generated) sequence of meromorphic horizontal-like maps, and assume $f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}) \subset \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{B}_i$ for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n-1} T_n, T_n \text{ vertical normalized in } \mathbb{B}_n\}$$

and for a given slice $\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}$ and a holomorphic function F defined in a neighborhood of $K \cap (\{w\} \times \mathbb{D})$, we let $\mathcal{H}_n(F)$ be the range of Φ_T acting on $\mathcal{C}_n$:

$$\mathcal{H}_n(F, w) = \left\{ m^w(F) = \int (T \wedge [\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}]) F, T \in \mathcal{C}_n \right\}.$$

Clearly these sequences are nonincreasing; we denote by $\mathcal{C}_\infty = \bigcap \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty(F, w) = \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{H}_n(F, w)}$. We can now state the convergence result for the moment functions.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}$ be a sequence of meromorphic horizontal-like maps, such that $f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}) \subset \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{B}_i$ for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Then with the preceding notation, for every slice $\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}$ and every F , $\mathcal{H}_\infty(F, w)$ is a single point, that is, all limit currents have the same moments in the slice.

From lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 one deduces the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.5. *If $K = \bigcap (f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1}))$ has zero Lebesgue measure (for instance if K is pluripolar), $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is a single current. In particular if for every n , T_{n+1} is a vertical normalized current in $\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$, the sequence $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$ converges to the unique $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$.*

The pluripolarity assumption holds in particular under the hypotheses of proposition 4.8 below, i.e. if the f_i have attracting indeterminacy points with large exponents $\underline{\ell}_i$.

Corollary 4.6. *Assume K is polynomially convex and for every n , T_n is a vertical normalized current in $\mathbb{B}_n$ such that for a.e. w , $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1}) \cap K \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}) = \emptyset$. Then the sequence $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1}$ converges.*

This holds for instance if for each n , $\text{Supp } T_n \subset \mathbb{B}_n \setminus f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$.

Proof of proposition 4.4: the proof is reminiscent of the convergence theorem in [Du]. We fix a slice $\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}$ and a holomorphic function F in a neighborhood U (in $\mathbb{C}^2$) of $K \cap (\{w\} \times \mathbb{D})$. If n_0 is large enough, for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\bigcap_{k \leq n} (f_1^{-1} \cdots f_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{k+1})) \cap (\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}) \subset U' \subset \subset U;$$

by now we only consider integers $n \geq n_0$. Without loss of generality, assume $|F| \leq 1$ on U' .

For $\theta \in \mathbb{D}$, let i_θ be the linear contraction $i_\theta(z, w) = (\theta z, w)$; i_θ acts on vertical normalized currents by $T \mapsto (i_\theta)_* T = T_\theta$. If $\theta = 0$, for any T , $T_0 = (i_0)_* T = [z = 0]$. We let $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n(F, w)$, and

$$\theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_n = \left\{ \int F(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_\theta \wedge [\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}]), T \text{ vertical in } \mathbb{B}_{n+1} \right\} \subset \mathcal{H}_n.$$

The aim is to prove $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is reduced to a single point.

Since each $\mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1}$ is a T_{θ_0} for a vertical T in $\mathbb{B}_n$, there exists a fixed $\theta_0 < 1$ (any $\theta_0 > 1 - \varepsilon$ will do), such that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \subset \theta_0 \cdot \mathcal{H}_n.$$

The crucial fact is that for fixed $n \geq n_0$ and T , $\theta \mapsto \int F(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_\theta \wedge [\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}])$ is a holomorphic function of $\theta \in \mathbb{D}$, bounded by 1. The proof goes as follows. The Duval-Sibony [DuS] theorem allows to approximate T by vertical varieties $\frac{1}{m_k}[V_k]$ in $\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$. Of course

$$g_k(\zeta, \theta) = \int F \left(\frac{1}{m_k} \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n (i_\theta)_* [V_k] \wedge [\{\zeta\} \times \mathbb{D}] \right)$$

is holomorphic in the variable (ζ, θ) , for ζ in the neighborhood of w and $\theta \in \mathbb{D}$, and bounded by 1 (because F is bounded by 1 in V'). Since $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n \left(\frac{1}{m_k} [V_k]_\theta \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_\theta$, the convergence holds for almost every slice, and g_k being holomorphic and bounded by 1, the sequence (g_k) converges everywhere towards the desired holomorphic function.

We can thus consider $\bigcup_{\theta \in \mathbb{D}} \theta \cdot \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n$ as family of holomorphic functions in the variable θ , bounded by 1, namely the set of $f_n(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_\theta \wedge [\{w\} \times \mathbb{D}] F$ for T in $\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$ (we drop the w variable). This family has the additional property that for fixed n , all $f_n(\theta)$ coincide for $\theta = 0$, since for every vertical current T , T_0 is the vertical line $[z = 0]$. Every $f_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ can be embedded as the time 1 of a family $f_n(\theta)$. Our first observation (7) also yields that f_n is the time θ_0 of a parameter family $f_{n-1}(\theta) \subset \mathcal{H}_{n-1}$.

Extracting convergent subsequences from the normal families, relation (7) persists at the limit, i.e. $\theta_0 \cdot \mathcal{H}_\infty \subset \mathcal{H}_\infty$. More precisely for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ there exists a holomorphic $g(\theta) \subset \mathcal{H}_\infty$ with $f = g(\theta_0)$. On the other hand every $f \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ is the time 1 of a holomorphic family $f(\theta)$.

For every n , let $f_n(\theta) \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Extract a subsequence n_j so that $f_{n_j}(0)$ converges. The limit $f(0)$ is the common value of all families $f(\theta)$ obtained as normal limits of $f_{n_j}(\theta)$, for $\theta = 0$. Assume there exists a non constant such family $f(\theta) \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$, and let

$$R = \sup \{ \|f(\theta) - f(0)\|_{L^\infty}, f(\theta) \in \mathcal{H}_\infty, \theta \in \mathbb{D} \}.$$

The Schwarz lemma asserts that for every family $f(\theta)$, $\|f(\theta) - f(0)\|_{L^\infty} \leq |\theta| R$. Pick a nonconstant function f that almost maximizes $\|f - f(0)\|$ in $\mathcal{H}_\infty$, and rotate it so that $\|f - f(0)\| = |f(1) - f(0)|$ –this corresponds to replacing T by some T_θ with $|\theta| = 1$. By the Schwarz lemma, $f(1)$ cannot be of the form $g(\theta_0)$ and we reach a contradiction. We thus get that for the subsequence n_j all normal limits of $f_{n_j}(\theta)$ are independent of θ (and thus of the currents T_{n_j+1}) and equal $f(0)$. Since the sequence $\mathcal{H}_n$ decreases, this remains true for the whole sequence n . $\square$

Proof of theorem 4.1: under the hypothesis that K is polynomially convex, we split the proof into two cases.

Assume first the sequence $(d_1 \cdots d_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded. This means that for large enough n , $d_n = 1$, so we can assume all $d_n = 1$. In this case the theorem is a version of the Stable Manifold Theorem. The proof goes as follows. Starting with a vertical holomorphic graph Γ_{n+1} in $\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$, $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n[\Gamma_{n+1}]$ is also a vertical graph, as well as the cluster values of this sequence. Since a vertical graph is determined by its moment functions, from proposition 4.4 we get $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n[\Gamma_{n+1}] \rightarrow [\Gamma_\infty]$. Now it is clear that the limit set $K = \bigcap f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1}) \subset \Gamma_\infty$ so the convergence holds for every starting current T_{n+1} , and $K = \Gamma_\infty$.

Suppose now $d_1 \cdots d_n \rightarrow \infty$. We will prove that for any cluster point τ of the sequence $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1}$, its slice measures on $\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}$ are supported on $\partial(K \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}))$, for a.e. w . The polynomial convexity of $K \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{w\})$ is clear. By lemma 4.2 this implies τ is uniquely defined by its moment functions, thus by proposition 4.4, $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$ converges.

If $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n_j} T_{n_j+1} \rightharpoonup \tau$, by Slicing theory, for almost every w , the sequence of slice measures $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n_j+1} \wedge [\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}]$ converges to $\tau \wedge [\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}]$. We pick up such a w . Assume the T_n have uniformly bounded potentials, and let V be an open subset in the interior of $K \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{w\})$. For every n_j , $f_{n_j} \circ \cdots \circ f_1$ is a well defined bounded family of holomorphic mappings $V \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{n_j+1}$ –use extension across indeterminacy points if any. Let u_{n_j+1} be the canonical potential of T_{n_j+1} , then

$$\frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_{n_j}} u_{n_j+1} \circ f_{n_j} \circ \cdots \circ f_1$$

is a potential of the slice of $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n_j} T_{n_j+1} \wedge [\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}]$ in V . Since u_{n_j+1} is bounded this sequence uniformly converges to zero and the slice of τ gives zero mass to V .

The second item of the theorem is corollary 4.5. $\square$

Remark 4.7. When all $d_i = 1$, there is no need to use proposition 4.4. Applying the Schwarz lemma in the unit ball of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ viewed as the space of vertical graphs is enough. This also works in higher dimension. This provides a particularly simple proof of the Stable Manifold Theorem for hyperbolic periodic points of holomorphic mappings; similarly, allowing the source and target polydisks to differ at each step gives the Pesin Stable Manifold Theorem.

4.2. Pluripolarity of K in the case of large exponents. In this paragraph we prove that if the maps have indeterminacy points and the Lojaciewicz exponents $\underline{\ell}_i$ dominate the d_i , then the set $\bigcap f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$ must be pluripolar. This forces the limiting potentials to be singular. The hypotheses in this paragraph are designed to fit with the maps considered in section 3.

We assume the maps f_i have exactly one indeterminacy point at the origin, and moreover satisfy

$$(8) \quad f_i(0) \cap \mathbb{B}_{i+1} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } ((w=0) \setminus \{0\}) \cap f_i^{-1} \mathbb{B}_{i+1} = \emptyset$$

As a consequence $f_i(0) \cap \mathbb{B}_{i+1}$ is a non trivial one dimensional subvariety, which is necessarily horizontal due to item ii. of the definition of horizontal-like maps.

We also make for simplicity the assumption that the sequence (f_i) has finitely many generators. This implies uniformity with respect to i of the constants appearing in the estimates.

We use the following notations: $K_n = f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1}) \cup \{0\}$ and $K = \bigcap_n K_n$; both are vertical subsets. One easily proves that under the preceding hypotheses $\overline{K}_{n+1} \subset K_n$, so K is closed.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a finitely generated sequence of meromorphic horizontal-like maps with exactly one indeterminacy point at 0, satisfying condition (8). We assume the line $(w=0)$ is attracting in the following sense*

$$(9) \quad \forall i, \forall p = (z, w) \in f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}), \text{dist}(f_i(p), (w=0)) \leq |w|^{\underline{\ell}_i}.$$

Then if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\underline{\ell}_1 \cdots \underline{\ell}_n}{d_1 \cdots d_n} = \infty,$$

the limit set K is pluripolar.

The $|w|^{\underline{\ell}_i}$ term on the right hand side of condition (9), may be replaced by $C_i |w|^{\underline{\ell}_i}$ provided all $\underline{\ell}_i \geq 2$. In the general case where some $\underline{\ell}_i = 1$ we need to assume $C_i \leq 1$.

We begin with a couple of lemmas.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $(f, \mathbb{B}_1, \mathbb{B}_2)$ be a meromorphic horizontal-like map with exactly one indeterminacy point at 0, and satisfying condition (8). Then there exists a constant $0 < \tau \leq 1$ such that for every $p = (z, w) \in f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_2)$ close enough to 0, $\|p\| \leq |w|^\tau$.*

Proof: we prove there exists an open analytic cone $\mathcal{C}$ of equation $|w| < |z|^n$ escaping under f , that is $f(\mathcal{C}) \cap \mathbb{B}_2 = \emptyset$. This means the non escaping points satisfy the inequality $|w| \geq |z|^n$ which implies the lemma.

Recall the meromorphic map f writes as $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$ where π_1 is a composition of point blow-ups. Let E be the proper transform of the line $(w=0)$ by π_1 . The second item of condition (8) means π_2 sends E outside $\mathbb{B}_2$. Since π_2 is holomorphic this is true for some neighborhood $N(E)$ of E in $\pi_1^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_1)$. We need to prove the existence of $N(E)$ such that $\pi_1(N(E))$ has the desired equation in the neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{B}_1$.

The exceptional divisor $\pi_1^{-1}(0) = E_1$ is a chain of rational curves intersecting E at some point q_0 . In the neighborhood of q_0 , π_1 is a composition of blow ups, with exceptional divisor E_1 intersecting E transversely. So there exists a system of coordinates (u, v) in the neighborhood of q_0 , such that $E_1 = (u=0)$, $E = (v=0)$ and $\pi_1(u, v) = (u^a(1+o(1)), u^b v^c(1+o(1)))$. In this case the image of a small bidisk around q_0 has the desired form in $\mathbb{B}_1$. $\square$

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(f, \mathbb{B}_1, \mathbb{B}_2)$ be a meromorphic horizontal-like map with exactly one indeterminacy point at 0, and satisfying condition (8). Then for every normalized vertical current T in $\mathbb{B}_2$, the current $\mathcal{L}T$ has Lelong number $\geq c(f) > 0$ at the origin.*

Proof: actually we show that any normalized vertical closed positive current in $f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_2)$ has positive Lelong number at 0. Indeed assume T is such a current, and let u be its logarithmic potential. Then

$$u(z, w) = \int_{\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}} \log |z - \zeta| dm^w(\zeta) \leq \log \max \{|z - \zeta|, \zeta \in \text{Supp}(m^w)\} \leq \log(|z| + |w|^\tau)$$

where the final estimate follows from the preceding lemma and the triangle inequality.

In particular one has $u(p) \leq \tau \log \|p\| + O(1)$ for $p = (z, w)$ near 0, which implies the lemma. $\square$

Proof of proposition 4.8: consider a vertical normalized current T_{n+2} in $\mathbb{B}_{n+2}$, then by the preceding lemma $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}T_{n+2} = T_{n+1}$ is a normalized vertical current in $\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$ with positive Lelong number at the origin. The current $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1}$ has support in $K_{n+1} \subset K_n \subset \mathbb{B}_1$.

There are two natural potentials for this current: first the logarithmic potential $u_{1,n}$ defined as in (1), next the potential, which is only defined in K_n

$$u_{2,n} = \frac{u(T_{n+1}) \circ f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_1}{d_1 \cdots d_n},$$

where $u(T_{n+1})$ is the logarithmic potential of T_{n+1} . If $p \in \partial K_n$, then $f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_1(p) \in \partial_v \mathbb{B}_{n+1}$, so $u_{2,n}(p) \geq (\log \varepsilon)/(d_1 \cdots d_n)$. On the other hand $u_{1,n} \leq \log 2$ everywhere in $\mathbb{B}_1$. This implies that $u_{1,n} \leq u_{2,n} + O(1)$ on ∂K_n . Since $u_{1,n} - u_{2,n}$ is pluriharmonic, this inequality extends to K_n .

Now if $p = (z, w) \in K_{n+1}$, the second coordinate of $f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_1(p)$ is smaller than $|w|^{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_n}$. By the preceding lemma, there exists a constant τ such that for every $q \in f_{n+1}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+2})$, $\|q\| \leq \text{dist}(q, (w=0))^\tau$, so we get $\|f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_1(p)\| \leq |w|^{\tau \ell_1 \cdots \ell_n}$, and since $u(T_{n+1})$ has a positive Lelong number at 0, for q near 0 one has $u(T_{n+1})(q) \leq c \log \|q\| + O(1)$. Hence

$$(10) \quad u_{1,n}(z, w) \leq u_{2,n}(z, w) + O(1) \leq C \frac{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_n}{d_1 \cdots d_n} \log |w| + O(1)$$

on K_{n+1} .

Extract first a subsequence n_i so that $u_{1,n_i} \leq -i^3$ on K_{n_i+1} , and let

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} u_{1,n_i}.$$

Then u is p.s.h. and $u = -\infty$ on K . On the other hand, since for every i , $u_{1,n_i} \geq (\log \varepsilon)/(d_1 \cdots d_n)$ near $\partial_v \mathbb{B}_1$, u is uniformly bounded there. Hence K is pluripolar. $\square$

4.3. A priori bounds for potentials. Here we give bounds on the potentials of the currents $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T$, when the rates of attraction ℓ_i of indeterminacy points are controlled by the d_i . This also covers the case where there are no indeterminacy points. This will allow us in the next paragraph to give another approach to the convergence result.

The results can easily be transposed in the setting of sequences of polynomial-like maps in $\mathbb{C}$. As far as we know, the result is new even in that case. It gives a lower estimate on the size of the “filled Julia set” associated to such a sequence, in a potential theoretic sense.

If T is a vertical (closed positive) current in $\mathbb{B}$, we let $u(T)$ be its canonical potential as given by equation (1) (coordinates are swapped for vertical currents).

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose T is a normalized closed positive current with support in $\mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{B}_{i+1}$, and f_i is a meromorphic horizontal-like map with possible indeterminacy points in the line $(w = 0)$. Then for every horizontal slice $\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}$ in $\mathbb{B}_i$, $w \neq 0$, one has*

$$\left| u(\mathcal{L}_i T) - \frac{1}{d_i} u(T) \circ f_i \right|_{(\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}) \cap f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1})} \leq C_i (1 + e_i |\log |w||),$$

where C_i and e_i depend only on f_i and ε ($e_i = 0$ if f_i is holomorphic).

Proof: note first that the function $u(\mathcal{L}_i T) - \frac{1}{d_i} u(T) \circ f_i$ is pluriharmonic where it is defined, i.e. in $f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1})$, so to estimate it on a given horizontal slice, it suffices to restrict to the boundary $(\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}) \cap \partial(f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}))$.

Now if $p \in \partial(f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}))$, $f_i(p) \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{i+1}$, so $\text{dist}(f_i(p), \text{Supp}(T)) \geq \varepsilon$, and $u(T)(f_i(p)) \geq \log \varepsilon$, which implies

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{1}{d_i} u(T) \circ f_i \right|_{(\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}) \cap \partial f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1})} \leq \frac{1}{d_i} |\log \varepsilon|$$

On the other hand,

$$(12) \quad |u(\mathcal{L}_i T)|_{(\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}) \cap \partial(f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}))} \leq |\log (\text{horizontal dist.}(\text{Supp } \mathcal{L}_i T, \partial f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1})))|,$$

while the horizontal distance is evaluated using the mean value inequality. Indeed, if $p_1 \in \text{Supp } \mathcal{L}_i T$ and $p_2 \in \partial f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1})$ are on the same horizontal line

$$\varepsilon \leq |f_i(p_1) - f_i(p_2)| \leq \frac{C_1}{|w|^{e_i}} |p_1 - p_2|,$$

for some constants C_1 and e_i . Hence $|p_1 - p_2| \geq C_2 |w|^{e_i}$, which implies

$$|u(\mathcal{L}_i T)|_{(\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}) \cap \partial(f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}))} \leq C(1 + e_i |\log |w||)$$

by (12). This, together with (11) yields the desired estimate. $\square$

We now iterate the preceding lemma for a sequence of mappings $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}_{i \geq 1}$. Remember the constants C_i and e_i are uniformly bounded, for we consider a finitely generated family (f_i) .

Lemma 4.12. *If T is a normalized vertical current in $\mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{B}_{n+1}$, one has for every $p \in f_1^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$,*

$$|u(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T)(p)| \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1 + e |\log |(f_i \circ \dots \circ f_1(p))_2||}{d_1 \cdots d_i} + \frac{u(T)(f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1(p))}{d_1 \cdots d_n},$$

where the subscript 2 means only the log of the second coordinate is involved, and $e = 0$ if the maps are holomorphic.

Proof: the proof is just applying inductively the preceding lemma, by using the following trick: in $f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$, one has

$$u(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T) = u(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T) - \frac{u(T) \circ f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1}{d_1 \cdots d_n} + \frac{u(T) \circ f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1}{d_1 \cdots d_n}$$

which splits as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{u(\mathcal{L}_i \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T) \circ f_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1}{d_1 \cdots d_{i-1}} - \frac{u(\mathcal{L}_{i+1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T) \circ f_i \circ \cdots \circ f_1}{d_1 \cdots d_i} \right] + \frac{u(T) \circ f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_1}{d_1 \cdots d_n}$$

with an obvious meaning when $i = 1$ or $i = n$, i.e. $f_0 = id$, $d_0 = 1$, etc. This rewrites as

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_{i-1}} \left[u(\mathcal{L}_i \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T) - \frac{1}{d_i} u(\mathcal{L}_{i+1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T) \circ f_i \right] \circ f_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1 + \frac{u(T) \circ f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_1}{d_1 \cdots d_n}.$$

Applying lemma 4.11 to the term between brackets gives the desired bound. $\square$

From this lemma one easily deduces a priori estimates for the sequences of potentials. In presence of indeterminacy points, one cannot hope for uniform estimates on the potentials, nevertheless we obtain bounds on horizontal slices, in case the iterates do not approach the indeterminacy locus too fast. Our approach actually also yields continuity of the limiting potentials.

Proposition 4.13. *Assume $\{(f_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{i+1})\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a finitely generated sequence of meromorphic horizontal-like maps. We moreover assume*

- *either the maps have no indeterminacy points*
- *or the possible indeterminacy locus is contained in $(w = 0)$, and the following is true for every i :*

$$(14) \quad \exists C_i > 0, \exists \bar{\ell}_i \geq 1, \forall p = (z, w) \in f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1}), C_i^{-1} |w|^{\bar{\ell}_i} \leq \text{dist}(f_i(p), (w = 0)).$$

Then if T_{n+1} is a vertical normalized current in $\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$ with uniformly bounded potential, there exist constants C and e (with $e = 0$ when the maps are holomorphic) such that on every horizontal slice $\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}$, $w \neq 0$, one has

$$(15) \quad |u(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})|_{\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}} \leq C \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1 + e \bar{\ell}_1 \bar{\ell}_2 \cdots \bar{\ell}_i (i + |\log |w||)}{d_1 \cdots d_i}.$$

In particular if the series on the right hand side converges, any cluster value τ of $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$ has continuous potential outside $(w = 0)$.

We will prove in theorem 4.14 below that under the hypotheses of the proposition, the sequence $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$ converges. The $(i + |\log |w||)$ factor may be replaced by $1 + |\log |w||$ in many cases, see the proof below. We stress condition (14) is of no importance when there are no indeterminacy points.

Proof: we prove (15) first. The potential of the normalized current $u(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$ is subharmonic on every horizontal slice $\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}$, and its laplacian has support in $f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$, so it suffices to control the L^∞ norm there.

We apply lemma 4.12. If $p = (z, w) \in f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$ we have to estimate the second coordinate of $\log |(f_i \circ \cdots \circ f_1(p))_2|$ using the left hand side of equation (14), where the constant C_i is replaced by a uniform constant. An easy induction shows that

$$|\log |(f_i \circ \cdots \circ f_1(p))_2| | \leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \bar{\ell}_j \cdots \bar{\ell}_i \right) + \bar{\ell}_1 \cdots \bar{\ell}_i |\log |w||.$$

In case $\bar{\ell}_i \geq 2$ the constant term can not become overwhelming because of the simple inequality

$$1 + \bar{\ell}_i + \bar{\ell}_{i-1}\bar{\ell}_i + \cdots + \bar{\ell}_1\bar{\ell}_2 \cdots \bar{\ell}_i \leq 2\bar{\ell}_1\bar{\ell}_2 \cdots \bar{\ell}_i.$$

In the general case $\ell_i \geq 1$ one uses the following

$$1 + \bar{\ell}_i + \cdots + \bar{\ell}_1\bar{\ell}_2 \cdots \bar{\ell}_i \leq (i+1)\bar{\ell}_1\bar{\ell}_2 \cdots \bar{\ell}_i.$$

Notice that in general the growth of the products $\bar{\ell}_1\bar{\ell}_2 \cdots \bar{\ell}_i$ is exponential so the linear term $(i+1)$ does not affect it too much.

Let τ be a cluster value of the sequence $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$ in $\mathbb{B}_1$. If the series in (15) converges, τ has locally bounded potential $u(\tau)$ outside ($w = 0$). Starting with e.g. smooth T_n , we prove $u(\tau)$ is continuous. We need only prove the continuity of $u(\tau)$ at $p \in K$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and fix m such that the remainder of the series in (15) is smaller than ε . If q is close enough to p , then $q \in f_1^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$ for some $n \geq m$. Rewrite (13) as $u(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T) = S_m + R_m$ where S_m consists of the m first terms of the sum, so that $|R_m(q)| < \varepsilon$ and $|R_m(p)| < \varepsilon$. To get equicontinuity of the sequence $u(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T)$ near p it remains to bound $|S_m(p) - S_m(q)|$.

Only the term between brackets in S_m depends on n . Let $\tilde{T} = \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1}$, the function $u(\mathcal{L}_i \tilde{T}) - \frac{1}{d_i} u(\tilde{T}) \circ f_i$ is pluriharmonic in $f_i^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{i+1})$ and locally bounded, independently on n (see lemma 4.11). So the modulus of continuity of this function in $f_i^{-1} \cdots f_n^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n+1})$ does not depend on n . This concludes the proof. $\square$

4.4. An alternate approach to the convergence theorem. We keep in this paragraph the hypotheses of Proposition 4.13. We prove a convergence theorem in the spirit of theorem 4.1, with however hypotheses of different nature.

Theorem 4.14. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.13, assume for every n , T_{n+1} is a normalized vertical positive closed current in $\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$ with uniformly bounded canonical potential. Then if the series*

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 + en\bar{\ell}_1 \cdots \bar{\ell}_n}{d_1 \cdots d_n} \quad (\text{with } e = 0 \text{ if the maps are holomorphic})$$

converges, so does the sequence of currents $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T_{n+1})$. The limit is independent of the currents T_n , and has continuous potential outside ($w = 0$).

As opposite to theorem 4.1, this approach provides explicit bounds on the rate of convergence, which is important for applications. This is new even in the case of one single iterated Hénon-like map, and solves the question raised in [Du, Remark 4.4].

The proof yields an estimate on the rate of convergence in terms of the expression

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_m} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + e\bar{\ell}_{m+1} \cdots \bar{\ell}_{m+i}(i + m\bar{\ell}_1 \cdots \bar{\ell}_m)}{d_{m+1} \cdots d_{m+i}}.$$

Actually, the convergence statement follows from the assumption that (17) tends to zero when $m \rightarrow \infty$. As before (see the proof of proposition 4.13), the assumptions can be slightly weakened when all $\bar{\ell}_i \geq 2$.

Proof: the letter C denotes a “constant” that may change from line to line, independently of m and n . Let $n > m$ and T_1 and T'_1 be respectively vertical normalized currents in $\mathbb{B}_{m+1}$ and

$\mathbb{B}_{n+1}$ with uniformly bounded potentials. Let S be a smooth positive closed current, with $\text{Supp } S \cap (w = 0) = \emptyset$, and φ a real valued test function in $\mathbb{B}_1$. Recall a vertical positive closed T is uniquely determined by the values of $\langle T, \varphi S \rangle$, for such S and φ , since these determine the slice measures outside $(w = 0)$.

We need to prove

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m T_1 - \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T'_1, \varphi S \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m (T_1 - T_2), \varphi S \rangle$$

is small when m is large (Cauchy criterion), with $T_2 = \mathcal{L}_{m+1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_n T'_1$. By proposition 2.3, $T_1 - T_2 = d\alpha$ for a vertically supported α , and we can write

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m (T_1 - T_2), \varphi S \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m d\alpha, \varphi S \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m \alpha, d\varphi \wedge S \rangle.$$

Next, we split α as $\alpha^{1,0} + \alpha^{0,1}$ and use the Schwarz inequality

$$(18) \quad \left| \langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m \alpha^{1,0}, d\varphi \wedge S \rangle \right| \leq \left\langle S, i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle S, i\mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m (\alpha^{1,0}) \wedge \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m (\overline{\alpha^{1,0}}) \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{(d_1 \cdots d_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\langle S, i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\langle {}^t\mathcal{L}_m \cdots {}^t\mathcal{L}_1 S, i\alpha^{1,0} \wedge \overline{\alpha^{1,0}} \right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where ${}^t\mathcal{L}_m \cdots {}^t\mathcal{L}_1 S$ is a horizontal current in $\mathbb{B}_{m+1}$. It remains to control $\langle {}^t\mathcal{L}_m \cdots {}^t\mathcal{L}_1 S, i\alpha^{1,0} \wedge \overline{\alpha^{1,0}} \rangle$: this is the role of proposition 4.13, together with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.15 (Polarization inequalities). *If T_i , $i = 1, 2$, (resp. S') are vertical (resp. horizontal) normalized positive closed currents, with $\text{Supp}(T_i) \subset \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{D}$. Write $T_i = dd^c u_i$, $i = 1, 2$ where u_i is the canonical potential, and assume the u_i are bounded on $\text{Supp } S'$. If $\alpha = \chi(d^c u_1 - d^c u_2) + \theta d\chi$ is as in proposition 2.3, then there exists a constant C_1 depending only on ε such that*

$$\left\langle S', i\alpha^{1,0} \wedge \overline{\alpha^{1,0}} \right\rangle \leq C_1 \max \left(\|u_1\|_{L^\infty(\text{Supp } S')}, \|u_2\|_{L^\infty(\text{Supp } S')}, 1 \right).$$

We use the lemma to finish the proof of the theorem. T_1 has bounded potential and proposition 4.13 asserts that on the horizontal slice $\mathbb{D} \times \{w\}$ in $\mathbb{B}_{m+1}$, the potential of T_2 is controlled by

$$C \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} \frac{1 + e\bar{\ell}_{m+1} \cdots \bar{\ell}_{m+i} (i + |\log |w||)}{d_{m+1} \cdots d_{m+i}}.$$

Lemma 4.15 requires a bound for the potentials on $\text{Supp}({}^t\mathcal{L}_m \cdots {}^t\mathcal{L}_1 S)$, so here again we use condition (14). More precisely if $\text{dist}(\text{Supp } S, (w = 0)) = r$, one gets as in the proof of proposition 4.13

$$\log \text{dist}(\text{Supp}({}^t\mathcal{L}_m \cdots {}^t\mathcal{L}_1 S), (w = 0)) \geq -C(\bar{\ell}_m + \cdots + \bar{\ell}_m \cdots \bar{\ell}_2) + \bar{\ell}_m \cdots \bar{\ell}_1 \log r \\ \geq -C\bar{\ell}_m \cdots \bar{\ell}_2 \bar{\ell}_1 (m + |\log r|).$$

The current S being fixed, this term is $O(m\bar{\ell}_1 \cdots \bar{\ell}_m)$. By (18), this gives a bound on $\langle \mathcal{L}_1 \cdots \mathcal{L}_m \alpha^{1,0}, d\varphi \wedge S \rangle$ in terms of the square root of the term in (17). Thus if the series in (16) converges, Cauchy's criterion is satisfied, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of lemma 4.15: this lemma is a version of the classical Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequalities. Notice however that the inequality is sharper than expected –namely the left hand side is usually squared– because of the specific geometric situation. Assume u_1 and u_2

are bounded on $\text{Supp } S'$. Since $\alpha = \chi(d^c u_1 - d^c u_2) + \theta d\chi$, the $(1,0)$ part of α is given by $\chi(\partial u_1 - \partial u_2) + \theta \partial \chi$. So $\langle S', i\alpha^{1,0} \wedge \overline{\alpha^{1,0}} \rangle$ contains terms of the form

$$\langle \chi^2 S', i\partial u_i \wedge \overline{\partial u_j} \rangle, \langle \chi S', i\partial u_i \wedge \theta \overline{\partial \chi} \rangle \text{ and } \langle S', \theta^2 \partial \chi \wedge \overline{\partial \chi} \rangle.$$

The form $\partial \chi$ has support in $(\mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon/2} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{1-\varepsilon}) \times \mathbb{D}$, and θ and ∂u_i are controlled in L^∞ norm there, so, S' being normalized, the terms of the second and third type are bounded independently of T_1 and T_2 .

The remaining issue is to estimate terms of the form $\langle \chi^2 S', i\partial u_i \wedge \overline{\partial u_j} \rangle$, with $i, j = 1, 2$. Note that using the Schwarz inequality with respect to the positive current $\chi^2 S'$, reduces to expressions like $\langle \chi^2 S', i\partial u_i \wedge \overline{\partial u_i} \rangle$. Write $u = u_i$. We use the classical polarization identity

$$2i\partial u \wedge \overline{\partial u} = i\partial \overline{\partial}(u^2) - 2ui\partial \overline{\partial}u$$

(notice that u is not globally bounded, so we cannot assume u^2 is psh by replacing u by $u + C$).

We infer (using $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$)

$$|\langle \chi^2 S', ui\partial \overline{\partial}u \rangle| \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\text{Supp } S')} \langle S', i\partial \overline{\partial}u \rangle = \|u\|_{L^\infty(\text{Supp } S')},$$

since S and $i\partial \overline{\partial}u$ are normalized, so $\int S' \wedge i\partial \overline{\partial}u = 1$. The other term is estimated as follows

$$|\langle \chi^2 S', i\partial \overline{\partial}(u^2) \rangle| \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\text{Supp } S') \cap \text{Supp}(\partial \overline{\partial} \chi)}^2 |\langle S', i\partial \overline{\partial}(\chi^2) \rangle| \leq C.$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

5. MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATIONS

We describe a couple of situations involving sequences of horizontal-like maps, where the results of the preceding section may prove useful.

5.1. Newton's method. Here we discuss a series of results by Y. Yamagishi [Y]. Applying Newton's method to find the common zeros of a pair of polynomials (P_1, P_2) yields a super-attractive cycle when the common root is simple, and an indeterminacy point when multiple. The dynamics near such a point looks very much like the situation encountered in section 3.

Yamagishi [Y] treats the case of a multiple root at 0 of the form

$$f = (P_1, P_2) = (z + O(\|p\|^2), w^2 - z^2 + O(\|p\|^3)), \text{ where } p = (z, w);$$

he proves that the associated Newton map Nf is indeterminate at 0, and has a Cantor set of “superstable” manifolds at the origin. Notice that the treatment of the stable manifold theorem in [Y] is covered by remark 4.7. Let π denote the blow up at the origin, with exceptional divisor E . The lifted map $\widetilde{Nf} = \pi^{-1} \circ Nf \circ \pi$ has two indeterminacy points on E , whose image is E , and it is possible to reproduce the analysis of section 3—in our notations, $d_1 = d_2 = 1$ and $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$ —hence the Cantor set of stable manifolds.

Using the formalism of horizontal-like maps and graph transform for currents allows for example to obtain parallel results in the case P_2 is arbitrary—this corresponds to arbitrary d_i 's. Of course the superstable manifolds have to be replaced by currents.

More generally, similar analysis should arise when studying local dynamics involving both some (super-)attracting behaviour and blow ups, e.g. near superattractive and (some) periodic indeterminacy points.

5.2. Hénon(-like) maps. Let f be a regular polynomial automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$ (composition of complex Hénon maps). It is well known that there exists a bidisk $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{D}_R^2$ such that points outside $\mathbb{B}$ are wandering. The triple $(f, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$ is horizontal-like (Hénon-like) in our sense. Assume $f(\mathbb{B}) \cap \mathbb{B}$ is a finite union of disjoint connected open sets $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_m$; if L is a horizontal line, then $f(L) \cap \mathbb{B} = L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_m$. If all components L_i have horizontal degree 1, $(f, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B})$ is a complex horseshoe. In the general case each U_i is equipped with a degree $d_i = \deg(L_i)$, independent of L . This discussion is valid for Hénon-like maps as well.

For instance this occurs when perturbing a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}$ with disconnected Julia set: let for example p be a cubic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}$, with escaping radius R , such that one critical point c_1 satisfies $|f(c_1)| > R$ and the other c_2 is attracted by a periodic sink. Then $f^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_R)$ has two components, one containing c_2 and the other containing no critical point, and for small a , the Hénon map $f(z, w) = (aw + p(z), az)$ satisfies the previous assumptions with $m = 2$, $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = 2$.

As before it is possible to do symbolic dynamics by assigning to each point in $K = K^+ \cap K^-$ (with the usual notation) its itinerary in $\{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and get a decomposition of K into sets K_α .

Now if $\alpha^+ \in \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}^*} = \Sigma^+$ be a one sided symbol sequence,

$$K_{\alpha^+}^+ = \{p \in \mathbb{B}, \forall i \geq 1, f^i(p) \in U_i\}$$

is a vertical closed set carrying a current $T_{\alpha^+}^+$, and we get a decomposition $T^+ = \int_{\Sigma^+} T_{\alpha^+}^+ d\nu(\alpha^+)$, similarly to section 3. If some $d_i > 1$, almost every $T_{\alpha^+}^+$ has bounded potential by proposition 4.13.

If $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $K_\alpha = K_{\alpha^+}^+ \cap K_{\alpha^-}^-$ supports the probability measure $\mu_\alpha = T_{\alpha^+}^+ \wedge T_{\alpha^-}^-$, hence a decomposition of the maximal entropy measure $\mu = T^+ \wedge T^-$.

It seems to be an interesting question to describe in general the extremal decomposition of the currents $T^{+/-}|_{\mathbb{B}}$. The latter examples provide a first insight into this question.

For another occurrence of sequences of Hénon-like maps inside a given Hénon map, see [BS].

REFERENCES

- [BJ] Bedford, Eric; Jonsson, Mattias. *Dynamics of regular polynomial endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^k$* . Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), 153-212.
- [BS] Bedford, Eric; Smillie, John. *Real polynomial diffeomorphisms of maximal entropy: II. Small Jacobian*. Preprint.
- [DiS1] Dinh, Tien Cuong; Sibony, Nessim. *Dynamique des applications d'allure polynomiale*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 82 (2003) 367-423.
- [DiS2] Dinh, Tien Cuong; Sibony, Nessim. *Dynamique des applications polynomiales semi-régulières*. Ark. mat. 42 (2004), 61-85.
- [Du] Dujardin, Romain. *Hénon-like mappings in $\mathbb{C}^2$* . Amer. J. Math. 126 (2004), 439-472.
- [DuS] Duval, Julien; Sibony, Nessim. *Polynomial convexity, rational convexity, and currents*. Duke Math. J. 79 (1995), 487-513.
- [FS] Fornæss, John Erik; Sibony, Nessim. *Hyperbolic maps on $\mathbb{P}^2$* . Math. Ann. 311(1998), 305-333.
- [G] Gamelin, Theodore W. *Uniform algebras*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969.
- [HO] Hubbard, John H.; Oberste-Vorth, Ralph W. *Hénon mappings in the complex domain. II. Projective and inductive limits of polynomials*. Real and complex dynamical systems, 89-132, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 464, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.

- [LS] Levenberg, Norman; Slodkowski, Zbigniew. *Pseudoconcave pluripolar sets in $\mathbb{C}^2$* . Math. Ann. 312 (1998), 429-443.
- [Si1] Sibony, Nessim. *Dynamique des applications rationnelles de $\mathbb{P}^k$* . Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997), Panoramas et Synthèses, 8, 1999.
- [Si2] Sibony, Nessim. *Quelques problèmes de prolongements de courants en analyse complexe*. Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), 157-197.
- [Sl] Slodkowski, Zbigniew. *Uniqueness property for positive closed currents in $\mathbb{C}^2$* . Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48 (1999), 635-652.
- [Y] Yamagishi, Yoshikazu. *On the local convergence of Newton's method to a multiple root*. J. Math. Soc. Japan 55 (2003), 897-908.

TC.D. AND N.S. : MATHÉMATIQUE, BÂTIMENT 425, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS SUD, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE.

tiencuong.dinh@math.u-psud.fr, nessim.sibony@math.u-psud.fr

R.D. : INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, UNIVERSITÉ DENIS DIDEROT, CASE 7012, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75251 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE.

dujardin@math.jussieu.fr